

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	maint heate don

APPLICATION NO. FILING		LING DATE	G DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,719 11/21/2003		Don L. Bouressa	SRC014P	3174		
38351	7590	08/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STEPHEN P.O. BOX 1		MAN	POPE, DARYL C			
CLEMSON, SC 29633-0168				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2612		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 11
	10/719,719	BOURESSA, DON L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DARYL C. POPE	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status ·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the f	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer			·
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bures			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	a or the certified copies not receive	a.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/2003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

ART REJECTION:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ricketts(5,218,344).
 - -- In considering claim 1, the claimed subject matter that is met by Ricketts includes:
- 1) the at least one first card reader means is met by the stationary transceivers(12):
 - 2) the base computer is met by the main computer(11);
- 3) the plurality of second card reader means is met by the transceivers(12) designated (B,C) as seen in figure 4:
- 4) the portable computer being in communication with the base computer is met by the local computer(15) in communication with the main computer as seen in figure 1.
 - Ricketts does not show:
- 1) the second card reader means being capable of wireless communication with the portable computer;

Application/Control Number: 10/719,719

Art Unit: 2612

2) the portable computer being capable of processing, visually displaying, and generating printed displays of census data such that evacuation status of a facility is determined in real time.

With regards to the wireless communication of the second card reader means, Ricketts states that although connection between the transceivers and the computers are via hard wiring, Ricketts does suggest implementation of other communication means i.e. wireless communications(see: column 8 lines 28-33). Use of wireless communication means for communicating information is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wireless communication between the transceivers(12) and the computer(15), since this would have reduced the cost of wiring in the facility by allowing wireless communication.

With regards to the communication between the portable computer and the base computer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the portable computer(15) to process, display, and generate printed displays of census data transmitted from the base computer, since Ricketts already teaches that the computers include conventional PC's comprising processors, monitors, keyboards, and printers(see: column 6, lines 48-53), and as well that the main computer performs processing of ingress and egress data for the purpose of determining count data. Therefore, since the local computers(15), are an extension of the main computer(11), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate all of the functions of the main

Art Unit: 2612

computer into the local computers, so as to allow functions of the main computer to extend throughout the facility, without having the actual main computer at every location.

- -- With regards to claim 2, the examiner takes Official Notice that in the computer art, use of computers which communicate via telephone transmitters is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate telephone transmitters into the computers(11,15) of Ricketts, since Ricketts already suggests implementation of other suitable communication means, and therefore telephone transmitters would have provided a convention and reliable means for allowing communication between components in the system.
- -- With regards to claims 3-8, the facility being an office building, educational facility, health care facility, and vehicle including a ship is met, since the system of Ricketts is utilized in a hospital, school, correctional facility, or the like. Furthermore, it would have been obvious that the Ricketts suggests implementation in a vehicle including a ship, since a military ship would have constituted a military installation. As well, the facility being an office building would have also been met, since some correctional facilities constitute office buildings as well.
- -- With regards to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the computer to be capable of generating printed images of schematic diagrams of the facility, since the system already desires to monitor the location of units as seen by the schematic diagram of figure 2, and therefore

Application/Control Number: 10/719,719

Art Unit: 2612

allowing the computer to print out those schematics would have allowed location information of specific personnel to be displayed via printed material.

- -- With regards to claims 10-11, although not specifically shown by Ricketts, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate independent power supplies into the computers and card reader means, since this would have prevented any single power outage to affect the entire system. Furthermore, the examiner takes official notice that in the power supply art, use of solar panels and drip charge devices for recharging and supplying power to devices is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate solar panels and drip charge devices into the computers and card reader means, since this would have alleviated the need for constant replacement of power supplies for the devices in the system.
 - -- Claim 12 recites subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.
- -- Claim 13 recites subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MIKE HORABIK can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,719

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daryl C. Pope

June 23, 2006

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2612